

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

| | |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Date of submission of the demand | Date of completion of this report |
| Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP | Authorized officer |
| Facsimile No. | Telephone No. |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/019684

Box No. I Basis of the report

1. With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
- ☐ This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
- ☐ international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))
- ☐ publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)
- ☐ international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on *(replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report)*:
- ☐ the international application as originally filed/furnished
- ☒ the description:
- pages 1, 2, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12-15 as originally filed/furnished
- pages* 3, 4, 5, 8, 11 received by this Authority on 27.07.2005
- pages* _____ received by this Authority on _____
- ☒ the claims:
- nos. 2, 3, 4, 6 as originally filed/furnished
- nos.* _____ as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
- nos.* 1, 5 received by this Authority on 27.07.2005
- nos.* _____ received by this Authority on _____
- ☒ the drawings:
- sheets fig. 1-5 as originally filed/furnished
- sheets* _____ received by this Authority on _____
- sheets* _____ received by this Authority on _____
- ☐ a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
- ☐ the description, pages _____
- ☐ the claims, nos. _____
- ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs _____
- ☐ the sequence listing (*specify*): _____
- ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (*specify*): _____
4. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
- ☐ the description, pages _____
- ☐ the claims, nos. _____
- ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs _____
- ☐ the sequence listing (*specify*): _____
- ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (*specify*): _____

* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/019684

| Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | |
|---|---|------------|-----|
| 1. Statement | | | |
| Novelty (N) | Claims | <u>1-6</u> | YES |
| | Claims | <u></u> | NO |
| Inventive step (IS) | Claims | <u></u> | YES |
| | Claims | <u>1-6</u> | NO |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Claims | <u>1-6</u> | YES |
| | Claims | <u></u> | NO |
| 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) | | | |
| Document 1: US 5363363 A (Eastman Kodak Co.), 08 November 1994 | | | |
| Document 2: JP 6-267102 A (Toshiba Corp.), 22 September 1994 | | | |
| Document 3: JP 5-128535 A (Toshiba Corp.), 25 May 1993 | | | |
| Document 4: WO 95/00873 A1 (Philips Electronics N. V.), 05 January 1995 | | | |
| Document 5: JP 63-100647 A (Canon Inc.), 02 May 1988 | | | |
| Claims 1 to 6 | | | |
| <p>Documents 1 to 3, which are cited in the international search report and in the written opinion that was drafted by the international searching authority, disclose optical disk reproduction devices that are equipped with a laser beam source, an optical receiver for reproducing signals, an optical receiver for monitoring the light source and a polarizing beam splitter; therein, said documents also describe the technical concept of using the differential between the output that is obtained from the optical receiver for reproducing signals and the output that is obtained from the optical receiver for monitoring the light source in order to obtain reproduction signals from which the laser noise has been eliminated.</p> | | | |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/019684

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
citations and explanations supporting such statement

On the other hand, the inventions disclosed in documents 1 to 3 differ from the inventions set forth in the abovementioned claims of the present application in that said documents do not specifically delimit the polarization isolation characteristics of the polarizing beam splitter.

However, it is common practice for a person skilled in the art to arbitrarily adjust the properties of a polarizing beam splitter that is disposed upon the optical path between the light emitting element and the light receiving element of an optical disk recording/reproducing device so as to accommodate the condition of the abovementioned optical path, as is indicated in documents 4 and 5. In particular, documents 4 and 5 describe establishing a suitable transmission factor and a suitable reflection factor relative to the P polarized light and the S polarized light in order to obtain optimal detected values along the abovementioned optical path. Such being the case, it can be said to be natural for a person skilled in the art to select beam splitter settings that impart a suitable transmission factor and a suitable reflection factor relative to the P polarized light and the S polarized light in order to obtain reproduction signals from which the laser noise has been eliminated even when using the inventions disclosed in documents 1 to 3.

Consequently, the present application merely indicates settings that a person skilled in the art would naturally have taken into consideration when using the devices disclosed in documents 1 to 3, and thus the invention set forth in the abovementioned claims does not involve an inventive step.